

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/420,885	10/19/1999	HEDY SHAD	ABC0105	3398
34356	7590 04/14/2003			
ASHKAN NAJAFI, P.A.			EXAMINER	
2615 SCOTT MILL DRIVE SOUTH JACKSONVILLE, FL 32223			ELISCA, PIERRE E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3621	
			DATE MAILED: 04/14/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary



Application No.

09/420,885

Applicant(s)

Hedy, Shad

Examiner

Pierre E. Elisca

Art Unit **3621**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
	for Reply				
THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.				
	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In a date of this communication.	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the	ne statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.			
- Failure	to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of t	ne application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
earned Status	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
1) 🔯	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	⁹ /26 /2002			
2a) 🗆	This action is FINAL . 2b) X This act	•			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
.	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4	(a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗀					
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u>	is/are rejected.			
7) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Applica	ation Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.			
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)L	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents hav				
	2. U Certified copies of the priority documents hav				
*0	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
14) 🗌	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
a)[, _,				
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
Attachm	•				
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
2) N	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) 🔲 lm	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Cther:			

Application/Control Number: 09/420,885 Page 2

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT

- 1. This Office action is in response to Applicant's response filed on 09/26/2002.
- 2. Claims 1-26 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 4. Claims 1, 5, 10, 16, 17, 18, 19, 21, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by DeFrancesco et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,878,403).

As per claims 1, 5, 10, 16, 17, 18, 19, 21, 23 and 24 DeFrancesco discloses a credit application and routing (routing or gateway) system includes a central processor having and executing a program. The system includes data input capabilities for selectively receiving credit application data from respective applicants at remote locations, and routing capabilities for selectively forwarding the credit application data to remote funding sources and selectively forwarding funding decision data from the funding sources to the respective applicants (which is seen to read as Applicant's claimed

Application/Control Number: 09/420,885 Page 3

Art Unit: 3621

invention wherein it is stated that a computer-based system for a client to transmit a credit inquiry

pertaining to a client customer to a credit bureau and receive a response to the inquiry from the credit

bureau) the system comprising:

a client (or applicant) terminal having a web browser for entering and displaying the credit inquiry

and the credit bureau response in HTML format, the client terminal being operated by the client (see.,

abstract, please note that DeFrancesco further discloses a central processor that is connected

with a local area network or Internet or wire area network, and therefore, in order for the

Applicant to receive credit report from the credit bureau over the Internet or local area

network a HTML format is needed, and also col 1, lines 13-67, col 2, lines 1-3, fig 1A).

A central processing unit functioning as a web server, the CPU having and executing a common

gateway (gateway or routing) interface CGI application program for directing the operations of the

CPU and controlling the formatting and transmitting of the credit inquiry and credit bureau response

between the client terminal and the credit bureau (see., abstract, fig 1A, items 113, 123a, 113b, 102,

col 1, lines 13-67);

a first communications link for connecting the client terminal to the CPU, thereby facilitating the

transfer of the credit inquiry from the client terminal to the CPU, and the transfer of the credit bureau

response from the CPU to the client terminal, the first communications link comprising the Internet

(see., abstract, fig 1A, col 1, lines 13-67, col 2, lines 1-3); and

a second communications link for connecting the CPU to the credit bureau, thereby facilitating the

transfer of the credit inquiry from the CPU to the credit bureau, and the transfer of the credit bureau

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/420,885

Art Unit: 3621

response from the credit bureau to the CPU, the second communications link comprising a dedicated

line (see., abstract, fig 1A, col 1, lines 13-67, col 2, lines 1-3);

whereby the format of the credit bureau response is converted and displayed to the client in HTML

format, providing credit information to the client in a format that is more easily read understood than

the format provided by the credit bureau (see., abstract, please note that DeFrancesco further

discloses a central processor that is connected with a local area network or Internet or wire

area network. Furthermore the credit bureau of DeFrancesco has a its own format (TTY), and

therefore, in order for the Applicant to receive credit report from the credit bureau over the

Internet or local area network a HTML format is needed, and also col 1, lines 13-67, col 2, lines

1-3, fig 1A).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 13, 14, 15, 20, 22, 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103
- (a) as being unpatentable over DeFrancesco et al in view of Katznelson (U.S.Pat. No. 5,010,571).

As per claims 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 13, 14, 15, 20, 22, 25 and 26 DeFrancesco substantially discloses the claimed limitations as recited in claim 1 above. It is noted that DeFrancesco fails to

Application/Control Number: 09/420,885

Art Unit: 3621

disclose the steps of encrypting/decrypting credit inquiry. However, Katznelson discloses a credit data

Page 5

signal that contains the credit data in both encrypted/decrypted form (see., col 3, lines 36-51).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to modify the teaching of DeFrancesco by including the limitation detailed above

as taught by Katznelson because it would provide means for reporting the recorded amount of data

retrieved from the file, and ,means for authenticating such report.

As per claims 3, 12, DeFrancesco discloses the claimed limitations as stated in claim 2

above, wherein the means for entering the credit inquiry includes displaying electronic credit inquiry

forms in HTML format in the web browser of the client terminal, the forms being provided by the

CPU (see., abstract, Fig 1A, col 1, lines 13-67, col 2, lines 1-3, please note that DeFrancesco

further discloses a central processor that is connected with a local area network or Internet or

wire area network, and therefore, in order for the Applicant to receive credit report from the

credit bureau over the Internet or local area network a HTML format is needed).

RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS

7. Applicant's arguments filed on 09/26/2002 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

REMARKS

Application/Control Number: 09/420,885

Art Unit: 3621

8. In response to Applicant's arguments, Applicant argues that DeFrancesco fails to disclose:

Page 6

a. "a client (or applicant) terminal having a web browser for entering and displaying the credit

inquiry and the credit bureau response in HTML format, the client terminal being operated by the

client". As indicated above, Defrancesco discloses a central processor that is connected with a

local area network or Internet or wire area network, and therefore, in order for the Applicant

to receive credit report from the credit bureau over the Internet or local area network a

HTML format is needed, and also col 1, lines 13-67, col 2, lines 1-3, fig 1A, see., abstract).

b. A central processor....operably coupled to a communications medium

CONCLUSION

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Pierre

Eddy Elisca at (703) 305-3987. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday from

6:30AM to 5:00PM.

If any attempt to reach the examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

James Trammell can be reached on (703) 305-9768.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents of Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Application/Control Number: 09/420,885

Art Unit: 3621

The Official Fax Number For TC-3600 is:

(703) 305-7687

Pierre Eddy Elisca

Patent Examiner

April 07, 2003